The New American Media Republic Doctrine of 2025

- 2 By Jason Page
- 3 Correspond: pagetelegram@proton.me / 4096R/C3571166
- 4 Second draft Dated 1 April 2025

5 **Preamble**

1

10

6 Whereas the free flow of accurate information is foundational to a 7 democratic society, and whereas a republic—the framework within

8 which American democracy operates—requires an informed public to

9 function effectively; whereas deception, if left unchecked

leverages the people against their own democracy under the guise

11 of protecting it; and whereas Thomas Jefferson warned, "If a

12 nation expects to be ignorant and free, in a state of

13 civilization, it expects what never was and never will be,"

14 highlighting the peril to liberty when the populace is ill-

15 informed and led astray; the United States hereby enacts this

16 doctrine to ensure that content presented as factual information

17 adheres to standards of truth, distinguished from entertainment,

18 and to hold accountable those who willfully deceive the public.

19 **Section 1: Purpose and Scope**

20 1. Purpose:

• To establish a federal mandate requiring all media providers—broadcast, digital, print, or otherwise—to clearly differentiate content presented as "Information"

- from content presented as "Entertainment," with the former bound by a legal obligation to truthfulness.
 - To safeguard the republic by ensuring an informed citizenry, recognizing that democracy without the emphasis on building and maintaining a republic leaves the people vulnerable to manipulation, eroding the very system they seek to uphold in the name of "democracy."
- 2. **Scope**: This doctrine applies to all entities distributing content to the public within the United States, including but not limited to television networks, radio stations, online platforms, social media companies, and news publishers, hereinafter referred to as "Providers," that are paid as such to provide information by any sponsors.

37 Section 2: Definitions

26

27

28

29

30

- 1. Information: Content explicitly labeled or reasonably interpreted as factual reporting, analysis or statements intended to inform the public about events, policies or conditions, subject to verification where objectivity is determined.
- 2. **Entertainment**: Content Updated: Draft 001explicitly labeled or reasonably interpreted as fictional, satirical, opinion-based or speculative, not bound by factual accuracy.
- 3. **Provider**: Any individual, corporation or organization that disseminates content to the public via any medium that is paid by any sponsors to do so.

- 49 4. **Equitable Fines**: Penalties scaled to the financial capacity 50 of the Provider and the influence of responsible individuals, 51 ensuring proportional impact towards meaningful restitution.
- 52 5. **Intentional Deception**: A willful act, supported by evidence 53 to present false information as truth with the purpose of 54 misleading the public.

55 **Section 3: Labeling Requirements**

- 1. All Providers must label content as either "Information" or

 "Entertainment" in a clear, conspicuous manner at the point

 of dissemination (e.g., on-screen banners, disclaimers,

 metadata tags, etc.)
- 2. Content labeled as "Information" must be supported by verifiable evidence or corrected promptly if found inaccurate, preserving the public's ability to discern truth in service of the republic.
- 3. Failure to label conteUpdated: Draft 001nt appropriately shall result in a presumption of "Information" status, subjecting the Provider to the accountability measures herein.

68 Section 4: Accountability for Truth in Information

69 1. Standard of Truth: Providers labeling content as "Information" legally accountable for 70 are its factual errors corrected Minor within а reasonable 71 accuracy. timeframe (e.g., 72 hours after notification or discovery) 72 shall be exempt from penalty. 73

- Providers 74 2. Correction Process: must establish accessible mechanisms for the public to report inaccuracies, with a 75 mandated and correction timeline, 76 response ensuring the republic's citizens are not left ill-informed. 77
- 3. **Investigations**: Regulatory bodies may investigate only when evidence suggests intentional deception, and not evident by mere negligence or error.

81 Section 5: Penalties and Enforcement

1. Equitable Fines:

82

83

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

- Fines shall be determined based on:
- a. The Provider's annual revenue, ensuring the penalty imposes a meaningful financial burden.
 - **b.** The scope of public influence (e.g., audience size, reach or clout of key decision-makers).
 - c. The duration of failure to correct inaccuracies after notification.
 - Fines escalate with repeated violations or refusal to correct or cooperate.

2. Intentional Deception:

- If an investigation confirms intent to deceive,
 penalties may include up to:
- a. Dissolution of the Provider entity, with assets transferred to new ownership free of prior conflicts of interest, as determined by a federal court, to prevent further erosion of the republic.

- 99 b. Equitable incarceration of key decision-makers, proportional to their influence and role, 100 up to maximum term determined bγ iudicial review. 101
- c. Leniency may be granted if key figures identify higher authorities ("handlers") orchestrating the deception, subject to verification.

105 6. Weaponization of Media Technology as Treason:

- 106 If an investigation, conducted with due process, confirms the
- 107 willful use of exotic or advanced media technologies (e.g.,
- 108 television-based subliminal messaging, neurotechnological
- 109 manipulation, or similar means) to subvert public opinion and
- 110 secure uninformed consent for undue influence, such acts shall be
- 111 classified as treason against the United States.
- 112 Prosecution for treason shall proceed under existing federal law
- 113 (18 U.S.C. § 2381), requiring evidence of intent to undermine the
- 114 sovereignty of the republic or aid its enemies, with penalties up
- 115 to and including life imprisonment or death, as determined by a
- 116 federal court.
- 117 Investigations into weaponization shall be initiated only upon
- 118 credible evidence, reviewed by the bipartisan federal commission
- 119 advised by an independently appropriated scientific body of
- 120 engineers by the Federal Communications Commission, to prevent
- 121 abuse of this provision and to provide safeguards against such use
- 122 of exotic technologies in social manipulation through information
- 123 media.

3. **Regulatory Oversight**: A bipartisan federal commission, appointed with Senate approval, shall oversee enforcement, ensuring investigations are evidence-based and apolitical, protecting the republic from partisan overreach.

128 Section 6: Protections and Exemptions

- 129 1. **Mistakes Forgiven**: Honest errors corrected within a 130 reasonable time-frame shall incur no penalty, recognizing 131 human fallibility while prioritizing an informed public.
- 2. **Freedom of Expression**: Content labeled as "Entertainment" is exempt from truth requirements, preserving artistic and speculative freedoms.
- 3. **Small Providers**: Entities with limited reach (e.g., under 10,000 monthly viewers or readers) may apply for simplified compliance standards.

138 Section 7: Implementation

- 1. **Effective Date**: This doctrine shall take effect on January 1, 140 2026, allowing Providers a transition period to comply with 141 labeling and accountability measures.
- 2. Public Education: The federal government 142 shall fund campaign to inform the public of their rights and 143 responsibilities under this doctrine, reinforcing 144 the republic's reliance on an educated citizenry. 145

146 **Section 8: Severability**

147 If any provision of this doctrine is found unconstitutional or 148 unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall remain in full 149 effect.